Health Promotion for Older People in Hungary: The need for more action
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Abstract

The health status of the Hungarian population is relatively poor, compared to other countries of similar socio-economic development. Unhealthy diet, smoking, alcohol consumption and low physical activity are important risk factors leading to cardiovascular system diseases – the main cause of death in the general population and among people 65+ in Hungary. Yet, the OECD health statistics indicate that Hungary belongs to a group of countries with the lowest per capita expenditure on prevention and public health and that the level of this expenditure is decreasing.

In Hungary, there is no legislation specifically dedicated to public health (Public Health Act) and the matters of public health and health promotion are regulated by various legal documents. The directions for public health policy are set in National Public Health Programmes. To address the problem of the ageing population, in 2009 a National Ageing Strategy (2009–2034) was adopted. The Strategy stresses the need to develop programmes for prevention, rehabilitation and health promotion for older people.

The main actor in public health policy is the central government, namely its agency the National Public Health and Medical Officer Service. Also, territorial governments play an important role, though they have limited financial capacity to spend on health promotion and they need to rely on external unstable sources of funds when implementing health programmes for older people. NGOs might be important partners for health promotion along with public authorities. However, they require more financial and infrastructural support to be able to perform more activities in the field of health promotion for older people.
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Introduction

The objective of this paper is to present basic information on the organisation and financing of health promotion in Hungary, with the focus on health promotion for older people. Selected activities (good practices) of territorial self-governments and NGOs are described, as these institutions have been recognised as key stakeholders in health promotion for older people in Hungary (for more details on the selection of key institutions involved in health promotion for older people in Hungary, see [1]).

Data were collected from desk research. The main sources used were: comparative databases provided by international organisations (particularly by the EU, the OECD and the WHO), scientific papers and grey literature as well as other national materials, including government reports, strategic documents and legal regulations. Moreover, semi-structured interviews with national experts were performed in March–June 2016 based on
pre-developed guide. The experts indicated good practices of territorial self-governments and NGOs in the area of health promotion for older people and gave in-depth information on these activities.

1. General context

Hungary is a high income country, according to the World Bank categorization, located in central Europe. The country is divided into 19 counties (megye) and the capital city Budapest. The counties are further subdivided into municipalities (települések – cities [város] – 328 and villages [község, nagyközség] – 3,126). Budapest is subdivided into 23 districts. Hungary has a population of nearly 10 million inhabitants and more than one quarter of the population lives in the Budapest metropolitan area.

During socialism, the health care system in Hungary, as in other Central and Eastern European countries, was built on the Semashko model with the state in the dominant role. After the political changes of 1990, the Bismarck model was introduced with a single Health Insurance Fund (HIF) administered by the National Health Insurance Fund Administration (NHIFA). NHIFA has been facing continuous deficit since its foundation in 1993 [2]. Since 2010, when center-right government took office, the role of the central government in the provision and financing of health care services has been again strengthened, and HIF budget has been recently re-integrated into the central government budget [3].

Total health expenditure accounts for 7.4% of GDP (2013) which is below the EU-28 average but higher than in many countries of the Central and Eastern European region (e.g. Poland, Czech Republic). Approximately 65% of the expenditure comes from public sources. The share of public funding has decreased in the last decade and it is relatively low, compared to other OECD countries. Private expenditure is mostly made up of out-of-pocket payments. A vast majority of health resources (95%) is devoted to financing individual health care services and goods (curative care, rehabilitative care, long-term care, ancillary services and medical goods) while collective services (prevention and public health services as well as health administration) take 5%. The expenditure on prevention and public health services in 2013 accounted for 2.7% of the total current health expenditure which is lower by 2.3 percentage points than it was in 2000 (see Table I).

2. Demographic and epidemiologic context

The share of the older population (65+) in Hungary is slightly below the EU-28 average (see Box I). However, it is foreseen that an unfavourable demographic tendency will result in a significant increase in the old age dependency ratio from 26.4% in 2015 to 52.4% in 2060. The health status of the Hungarian population is exceptionally low given the general socio-economic development of the country.

The poor health of the Hungarian population has been a highly visible problem for many years. In the first decades of the post-war communist period, efforts in the area of public health (widespread immunisation programmes, public hygiene programmes) resulted in bringing communicable diseases under control and increasing the life expectancy of the Hungarian population [4]. However, starting from the mid-1960s, sanitary and epidemiological services failed to respond to the new health challenges, i.e. non-communicable diseases. This unfavourable trend also continued in the first years after the political change of 1990, when a decline in health status was observed, leaving Hungary not only behind Western European countries, but also some central European countries like Poland and the Czech Republic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,779.5</td>
<td>2,213.9</td>
<td>2,320.6</td>
<td>2,217.1</td>
<td>2,241.7</td>
<td>2,282.2</td>
<td>+28.3%</td>
<td>–1.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total current health expenditure (TCHE) per capita, Hungarian Forints, Thousands (constant prices, 2005) | 120.9 | 178.9 | 169.1 | 169.8 | 169.1 | 168.2 | +39.1% | –0.5% |

| Total current health expenditure (% of GDP) | 6.8 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 7.4 | +0.6 pp | +0.1 pp |

| Public expenditure (% TCHE) | 69.6 | 69.5 | 66.8 | 64.7 | 62.9 | 64.6 | –5 pp | –2.2 |

| Individual health care (% of TCHE) | 92.7 | 93.5 | 93.9 | 93.3 | 95.3 | 95.2 | +2.5 pp | +1.3 pp |

| Collective health care (% of TCHE) | 7.3 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 4.7 | 4.8 | –2.5 pp | –1.3 pp |

| Prevention and public health (% of TCHE) | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 2.7 | –2.3 pp | –1.6 pp |

Table I. Health system indicators.
In 2014, the share of the older population (65+) in Hungary amounts to 17.5% and is below the EU-28 average of 18.5%. 13.4% of the population is aged 65–74 and 4.2% are the oldest of the old (80+). The proportion of the population aged 65 to 74 in Hungary is equal to the EU-28 average and the proportion of the oldest people is slightly below the EU-28 average of 5.1% in 2014 [5]. The average life expectancy (LE) at birth for females accounts to 79.1 years of life and is below the EU-28 level of 83.3 years of life. The healthy life years (HLY) for women are estimated at 60.1 (about 76% of the average female lifespan). The average LE at birth for men is 72.1 years, which is rather low compared to the EU-28 average of 77.8. Healthy life years (HLY) are estimated at 59.1 (which is about 82% of the average male lifespan). It is worth noting that the gap between the LE of men and women is as large as 7 years of life in the case of LE at birth and almost 4 years of life for the population at the age of 65. The average LE at the age of 65 is 18.4 years for women and 14.5 years for men, which is below the EU-28 averages of 21.3 for women and 17.9 for men. It is estimated that Hungarian women tend to spend only 32% and Hungarian men 42% of this time in good health and without disability (HLY at the age of 65).

Due to the increase in the average life expectancy and the decrease in the fertility rate, the proportion of older people (65+) in the population is forecasted to increase from 17.5% in 2014 to 29.4% in 2060. The share of people aged 80 or more in the population is forecasted to triple: from 4.2% to 12.8%. This unfavourable demographic tendency will result in an increase in the old age dependency ratio from 26.4% in 2015 to 52.4% in 2060.

### Box 1. Demography.

*Source: Own work.*

Presently, the life expectancy at birth for Hungarian men (72.1 years) is nearly 6 years less than the EU-28 average (Box 1). The life expectancy of Hungarian women (79.1 years) is 4 years shorter than among their counterparts in the EU-28. Additional reasons for concern are geographical and social health inequalities [4]. The health status of the older population has also been proven to be worse than in other European countries (Box 2). The European Health and Social Integration Survey (EHSIS) revealed that the prevalence of disability in the Hungarian population of older people is the highest out of all 28 analysed countries (see Figure 1).

Although some efforts have been undertaken, risk factors such as unhealthy diet, smoking, alcohol consumption and low physical activity are important factors shaping the health status of the Hungarian population. They lead to cardiovascular system diseases – the main cause of death in the general population and among people 65+ (see Box 2).

### 3. Legal framework for public health and health promotion in Hungary

The first important law in Hungary concerning health was passed in 1876 (Act XIV on Public Health). Although titled the Act on Public Health, this act, which declared the state responsible for the health of the population, dealt generally with health protection and the organisation of health care. Nevertheless, public health issues, such as preventing infectious diseases, ensuring access to clean water, housing sanitation, etc. were also covered by this legislation [8]. During the communist period, when the focus was still on communicable diseases, the functioning of the main sanitation institution was regulated by the Council of Ministers Decree No 173/1951 (IX.16) on the organisation of the State Supervisory Agency for Public Hygiene and Infectious Diseases.

### Box 2. Health status.

*Source: Own work.*

In 2014, the overall mortality level in the population 65+ is 6,502 deaths per 100,000 population in men and 4,211 deaths per 100,000 in women [6]. The main causes of mortality in the older population (65+) are cardiovascular system diseases, constituting about 52% of male (3,392/100,000 population) and 58% (2,458/100,000 population) of female deaths. Cancers are the cause of about one fourth of deaths in men (1,642/100,000 population) and one fifth of deaths in women (3,392/100,000 population). Respiratory system diseases account for about 7% of male (447/100,000 population) and 5% of female (207/100,000 population) deaths of the population 65+.

Older people in Hungary report being in poor health status. 32.4% of people aged 65–74, 48.8% of people aged 75–84 and 61.2% of people above 80 years of age assessed their health status as bad or very bad (EU-SILC data of 2014) [5]. Less than 20% of people aged 65–74, 8% of people aged 75–84 and less than 6% of people above the age of 85 report being in good or better than good health. Long-standing illnesses were reported by 77.6% of the population 65+ in 2014. Chronic conditions are slightly more common among older women (79.7%) than men (73.8%) though the difference between the sexes is not large. The proportion of older people with chronic conditions increases with age. As much as 87.3% of people aged 85 or more report suffering from long-standing illnesses. Corpal impairments are the most widespread affecting approximately half of the population aged 60 to 70 and are more frequent with increasing age as almost 80% of people 80+ declare impairments. Vision and hearing disorders occur in about 10% of people aged 60 to 70 and in half of the population 80+ [7]. Activity limitations caused by health problems are reported by 53.4% of people aged 65–74, 72% of people aged 75–84 and 83.9% of people aged 85 or more in 2013 [5]. Long-standing activity limitations are reported more frequently by women than men (56%, 76% and 86% of women vs. 50%, 65% and 80% of men in their respective age groups). The main risk factors of poor health include obesity and inadequate nutrition, lack of physical activity and smoking.
Despite the presence of a variety of legislation related to public health and health promotion, Hungary has not yet developed legislation specifically dedicated to public health (Public Health Act). Presently, the legislation which established the main public health institution in Hungary, which is considered the main legislation in the area of public health, is Act XI of 1991 on the National Public Health and Medical Officer Service and the Government, along with Decree No. 362/2006 on the National Public Health and Medical Officer Service and the Designation of the Pharmaceutical Public Administration Authority.

Also, some public health issues are regulated in more general laws on health and health care, such as Act CLIV of 1997 on Health, Act LXXXIII of 1997 on the Services of Compulsory Health Insurance and Act CXXIII of 2015 on Basic Health Care Services. These acts define the health rights of Hungarian citizens, specify the basic service package under the Hungarian health insurance (including health prevention services), and regulate the provision and financing of health care services and the responsibilities of the main public actors. Occupational health protection and the responsibility of employers in protecting the health of their employees are regulated by Act XCIII of 1993 on Occupational Safety. It is also worth mentioning regulations on selected health promotion issues, like the CIII of 2011 on Taxes on Unhealthy Food and Beverages which introduced an earmarked tax for health (see Box 3).

**Box 3. Important public health legislation since 2010.**

Source: Own work.
The directions for public health policy are set in National Public Health Programmes. The first comprehensive programme was launched as a government resolution in 1994 [9]. It was followed by a renewed public health programme in 2001 ‘For a Healthy Nation’ and in 2003 (after the change of government in 2002), the ‘National Programme for a Decade of Health’ which set priorities and defined actions for 10 years [10]. The implementation of national public health programmes and the achievements of the defined health objectives have, however, often been hindered by a lack of long-term political support, inadequate financing or insufficient institutional capacity [9]. The new national health programme has not been established yet, though a need for such a programme has been indicated in another strategic document on health care established in 2015, ‘Healthy Hungary 2014–2020’ [11].

Health promotion and disease prevention among the older population in Hungary, has long been recognised as an important health issue which requires more public effort. In 1996, the Committee for Elderly People was established in the Ministry of Health. The committee prepared the Elderly People’s Charter. In 2001, the Commissioner of Health Care for Elderly People was assigned with the responsibility of preparing a health care programme for older people based on the charter [12]. In 2003, the ten-year National Public Health Programme ‘National Programme for a Decade of Health’ was launched with special attention given to problems related to ageing [13]. In 2007, the National Implementation Plan for healthy ageing was prepared, which resulted in various activities promoting healthy nutrition, physical activity and mental health among older people [12].

In 2009 a National Ageing Strategy (2009–2034) was developed and approved by the Hungarian Parliament [14]. The long-term goals defined in this document include: aligning life expectancy with the EU average; increasing the number of years spent in good health; keeping active in life longer; ensuring financial security in old age; promoting social integration; harmonising different services (healthcare, social, educational, cultural, etc.) considering the interests and needs of the elderly and old people; supporting lifelong learning; promoting active ageing (meaning not only labour activity, but also social, cultural, and civil activity); calling the attention of younger generations to ‘age management’ and changing the social attitude regarding ageing in an economic and social sense [15]. The Strategy stresses the need to develop programmes for prevention, rehabilitation, health promotion and sports for senior people and underlines the importance of physical activity for older people’s health.

4. Financing public health and health promotion in Hungary

The OECD health statistics indicate that Hungary, together with other CEE countries, Greece and Mexico, belongs to a group of countries with the lowest expenditure on prevention and public health (see Figure 2). In 2013 the expenditure was 47.3 US$ PPP. In the last decade, there has been a decrease in spending on prevention and public health in Hungary. Between 2005 and 2013 the real expenditure per capita decreased by more than 40% (from 63 US$ PPP to 36 US$ PPP) (Table II).

There are various sources of funds for prevention and public health in Hungary (Figure 3 and Figure 4). The expenditure from public sources accounts for 57% of total expenditure on prevention and public health, though the share of the public expenditure has declined in recent years (by approx. 8 percentage points since 2005).

![Figure 2. Expenditure on prevention and public health per capita (US$ PPP) in 2013 in OECD countries.](http://stats.oecd.org/index.aspx?DataSetCode=HEALTH_STAT#; accessed: 18.06.2016.)
Hungary

Public sources include tax revenues, namely general taxes and taxes on unhealthy food and beverages, introduced in 2011, which also contribute to the health budget [17]. There is no specific allocation of the revenues from excise taxes on tobacco and alcohol to public health, though this has been under political discussion [4]. Some resources from the Health Insurance Fund are also allocated to health promotion or disease prevention, though no sub-budget for this purpose has been distinguished [18].

The importance of different public revenues for public health has changed in recent years (see Figure 3 and Figure 4). Until 2012, the main public sources of funds for prevention and public health were tax revenues. This included expenditure by the central government (on public health programmes, vaccination and the functioning of National Public Health and Medical Officer Services) and to a lesser extent, spending by territorial governments (see Table II). The latest data indicate, however, that expenditure from social insurance outspends the


(Figure 4). Public sources include tax revenues, namely general taxes and taxes on unhealthy food and beverages, introduced in 2011, which also contribute to the health budget [17]. There is no specific allocation of the revenues from excise taxes on tobacco and alcohol to public health, though this has been under political discussion [4]. Some resources from the Health Insurance Fund are also allocated to health promotion or disease prevention, though no sub-budget for this purpose has been distinguished [18].
expenditure of governments (i.e. in 2013, 34% of total expenditure on prevention and public health came from social insurance and 23% from tax revenues). This trend was due to a decline in the level of expenditure on prevention and public health by the central government (i.e. between 2005 and 2011, the share of the expenditure by the central government declined from 38% to 28%). It should be noted however, that since 2012 some of the expenditure of the central government on the activities of National Public Health and Medical Officer Services, classified earlier as expenditure on prevention, has been re-classified as administrative spending, which might explain the observed drop [19]. The expenditure of the Health Insurance Fund, on the other hand, has remained stable through the last decade (see Figure 3). This includes spending on prevention of communicable and non-communicable diseases; maternal and child health; family planning, and school health services [19].

The main source of private revenues for prevention and public health are corporations (more than 20% of total expenditure on prevention and public health) (Figure 3). These are resources related to occupational health. However, the level of expenditure by employers on prevention and public health is decreasing in favour
of expenditure on curative services (in 2005, expenditure on preventive services accounted for more than 40% of the total health expenditure of corporations, while 8 years later, in 2013, it was only 20%, see Table II).

The second largest source of private funds for prevention and public health are non-profit organisations. The expenditures of non-profit organisations account for approx. 13% of the total expenditure on public health and prevention and they are rather stable. Household out-of-pocket expenditure on prevention and public health services has been increasing, and in 2013 accounted for more than 8% of the total expenditure on these services. Resources from private insurance play minor and diminishing role in financing prevention services as preventive services or screenings no longer can be offered in private health insurance packages. Also, declining ratio of private insurance in financing prevention services is due to changes in legislation which promote the use of health savings accounts (egészségpénztárak), and this kind of spending appears as out-of-pocket expenditure.

5. Institutions involved in public health and health promotion and their programmes addressed to older people

Public health services are mainly the responsibility of the central government (the Ministry of Human Capacities*), which provides these services through the National Public Health and Medical Officer Service (NPHMOS). The NPHMOS was established in 1991 [20, 21] as a state agency to address the shortcoming of the traditional sanitary and epidemiological service, which failed to respond adequately to the challenges of non-communicable diseases. However, the NPHMOS was established on the basis of the State Supervisory Agency for Public Hygiene and Infectious Diseases, with limited professional capacity to become a modern public health institution [22]. This changed later in the 90s when public health professionals, trained at newly formed schools of public health, became available for employment by NPHMOS.7 The administration of the NPHMOS was divided into three levels: national, headed by the Chief Public Health Officer, regional (seven regional offices, each covering the population of two to three counties) and sub-regional. Presently, territorial offices have been integrated into the government offices (kormányhivatalok). The NPHMOS has a broad range of responsibilities related to public health (environmental and settlement health, food and nutritional health, children and youth health, radiohygiene and chemical safety), epidemiology (monitoring epidemiological issues and changes in the population’s health status), health protection, health education, health promotion, public health administration and occupational health (workplace hygiene, occupational medicine) as well as supervision of healthcare provision.8

The NPHMOS is supported by national institutes: the National Public Health Centre, the National Centre for Epidemiology, the Institute for Emergency Healthcare Supply Management, and the National Institute for Health Development. Among them, the National Institute for Health Development (Nemzeti Egészségfejlesztési Intézet, NEFI) is an important methodological background institution of the Ministry of Human Capacities with a mission of ‘influencing the health behaviour of the population and providing health related information in the field of public health’.9

The territorial governments are key public stakeholders in health promotion for older people in Hungary. They are generally responsible for planning and providing local health services. However, they have limited financial capacity to spend on health (they have no earmarked funds for public health). Even the financing of capital cost, which territorial government are responsible for as the owners of health care facilities, requires subsidies from the central government [4]. Despite the financial barriers, some territorial governments have implemented health promotion programmes targeted at older people, usually with external EU financial aid, which are considered good practice (See Box 4–6).

Central and territorial self-governments fulfilling their responsibilities for health promotion among older people rely on the support of non-governmental partners, particularly NGOs. In the early 2000s, Hungary was considered a Central-Eastern European leader in legislation on NGO activities [23]. As of 2014, there were about 65,000 NGOs registered in Hungary12 [25]. This number has increased since 2007 by approx. 3,000. Nevertheless, in that year only 4.7% of NGOs operated in the field of health and 9% in social services, 15.7% were active in education and 12.2% in sports and recreation.

A survey from 2000 indicated that about 20% of local self-governments had contracts with NGOs, delegating public services [25]. Public grants constitute a significant source of income for the NGO sector.13 In 2007, 35% of the total sector income came from state or local grants [25]. These resources are distributed through calls for proposals and are funded from the National Civil Fund (i.e. a fund established by the Hungarian government in 2003, specifically in order to support NGOs). Yet, there are some critics on the over-politicisation and extensive bureaucracy of the state funding distribution to NGOs which threatens the sustainability of NGO’s funding [23, 26].

An example of collaboration between the government and NGOs in the field of health promotion for the older population, is the ‘Walking Club for Healthier Ageing’ programme for pensioners over the age of 60. The programme promotes physical activity through club activities and supplements this with lectures on healthy ageing, culture, and other topics.14 Another example – often indicated as a good practice – is ‘Basic social services in rural settlements: Village and remote homestead community care-giving’. This programme functions within governmental policy addressed to excluded older people but it also involves civil society resources, and especially social networks. It is a multi-sectorial operation with a complex structure of activities which also has proven to be exemplary due to its low cost and high transferability [27]. Box 6 includes other examples of good practices by NGOs.
Hungary

Target group: 60+ citizens of Ujbuda

Ujbuda is one of the largest districts in Budapest. In 2008, to develop social and healthcare strategy for the elderly, the self-government of Ujbuda started a complex programme. This programme is in line with the principles of the Elderly People’s Charter, adapting them to and putting them into practice at the local level.

The main objective of the project is increasing quality of life with the instruments of the self-government and achieving results in: fighting loneliness, eliminating the generation gap, ensuring and providing life-long learning, maintaining health and an active lifestyle, ensuring a safe environment and maintaining the independence, activity and dignity of elderly people as long as possible. This project is complex, providing more than two hundred programmes and services monthly that improve the quality of life of the target group and help maintain their activities.

The major elements and results of the Programme are as follows:

- Building communities (organised and run by trained volunteers on different subjects, e.g. shopping, teaching English, German, Esperanto, dermatology courses).
- Ujbuda 60+CARD, which entitles the elderly to take part in centrally organised programmes and courses at a low cost or free of charge.
- “Communication – in time”, specifically for elderly people (the district newspaper, an internet webpage and newsletters inform about the events and programmes). Information is provided in the Ujbuda 60+ Programme Centre in person and on the phone every working day. Moreover, the Media Workshop Group is one of the volunteer communities where trained journalists deliver news for the elderly about the elderly.
- Culture – several dozens of programmes from hand crafts to playing musical instruments.
- Senior Academy Ujbuda – lectures and courses.
- Health and sports – courses and competitions.
- Crime prevention sub-programme – to make people to feel safer.
- Telephone for elderly people – a device specifically for the elderly.

Box 4. Municipality of Ujbuda’s Programme for Elderly People 60+.

Source: On the basis of information and materials presented by Ilona Győrffy Molnár (the Head of Citizen’s Services Directorate of the Local Government & the Municipality of District 11 of Budapest) during the European Congress of Regional and Local Governments in Krakow, 5 April 2016.

Target group: 60+ senior citizens

In Zugló (the 14th district of Budapest), in the framework of the Silver City pilot project, the Zugló Age Centre was created. This centre helps in solving the problems of the elderly, making the most of their activity potential, processing their suggestions related to community life, and communicating those to the local government authority or government organisations.

The Zugló Age Centre offers complex activities dedicated to older people: Infopoint, volunteering, andragogy (a series of scientific lectures in the form of a free university) and a community building. One of the crucial services offered for the elderly is the ability to gain information about the initiatives/activities of district offices, civil or church organisations. The Infopoint ensures the accessibility of the offered programmes, their connections and the rules of participation in the programmes. They also collect feedback (suggestions/questions) regarding the programmes. One of the main conditions of the Age Centre is that participants feel involved in the issues of the elderly of Zugló.

Box 5. Zugló Age Centre (the 14th district of the Capital City, Budapest).


Target Group: Older people (60+)

The Budapest Cultural Centre (BCC) is a professional service institution of the community cultural institutions, civil organisations and communities in the 11th District of Budapest. In 2006, the BCC implemented a computer learning programme for older people by developing and sponsoring the Click on it Grandma programme, which helps senior citizens and retired people overcome the main obstacles of computer and Internet usage. The practice-oriented training courses offered by the BCC are specially developed for and targeted at meeting the special needs of older people. The BCC is an educational methodology centre targeted at meeting the needs of senior citizens and has also established good relations with all local cultural and community centres nationwide. Since 2006 the BCC has extended the programme beyond Budapest and set up a nationwide educational network to launch courses franchised, administrated and supervised by the BCC. Now this is the largest programme of its kind in Hungary and is run in 12 cities.

In 2013 the BCC implemented the intergenerational Project: “Granny – Student IT Study Circle.” Older and younger people meet regularly in the BCC. During the meetings older people acquire new IT skills and thanks to the length of the meetings they have a chance to put the newly acquired knowledge into practice. The BCC encourages the elderly to start learning or volunteering.

According to BCC analysis, the senior education structure in Hungary needs to be further developed and improved. The central and local health promotion initiatives need to be further supported. Participation in such activities stimulates personal development, builds self-esteem, allows for better communication and reduces social exclusion.

Box 6. Budapest Cultural Centre (BCC) Budapest, XI. District Etele út 55.

Conclusions and recommendations

The results of our review indicate that health promotion is a neglected area in the Hungarian health care system. Hungary belongs to the group of countries with the lowest expenditure on prevention and public health and the level of this expenditure is decreasing. There is no separate fund for public health which does not allow the securing of sufficient financial resources for health promotion programmes. Moreover, the lack of legislation specifically dedicated to public health diminishes the importance of this area of the health system. However, given the poor status of the Hungarian population, which can largely be attributed to an unhealthy lifestyle, greater policy attention to health promotion is highly warranted.

The important target group for health promotion activities should be the elderly population, which will be increasing in size in the coming decades. As evidence indicates, this group is characterised with very low health status. The need for paying greater attention to older people has been already recognised by the Hungarian government, which developed a National Ageing Strategy. Still, programmes focused on health promotion are lacking.

There are various barriers to the implementation of health programmes in Hungary. Along with the earlier mentioned resource constraints, a lack of political commitment to pursue health programmes, especially if inherited from political predecessors, might be also a hindering factor. Although non-public institutions such as NGOs, can be valuable partners in health ageing policies for the government and territorial self-governments, more commitment and support is needed to ensure a greater prevalence and sustainability of health promotion initiatives targeted at older people.

Notes

1 The ratio between the number of persons aged 65 and over (the age when they are generally economically inactive) and the number of persons aged between 15 and 64. The value is expressed per 100 persons of working age (15–64) (Eurostat).
2 The list of compulsory and discretionary screening programmes is included in Decree No. 51/1997 (XII.18.) NM of the Minister of Welfare on Preventive and Early Diagnostic Services that Can be Utilised in the Frame of the Social Health Insurance System and on the Certification of Participation in Screening Programmes. Decree No. 18/1998 (VI.3) NM of Minister of Welfare on the Prevention and Control of Infectious Diseases and Epidemics regulates the operation of surveillance systems for communicable diseases, immunisation against communicable diseases and the procedures of infectious disease control.
3 It should be noted that the data on expenditure for prevention and public health include various expenditures and their comparability across countries is limited [16].
4 The HIF is divided into more than 30 sub-budgets according to the type of service.
5 This expenditure also includes resources from external sources (EU grants) for funding health promotion, which have been increasing in last decade.
6 The Ministry of National Resources was created in 2010 by merging five ministries responsible for social, family and youth affairs; health care; education; culture; and sport. These ministers are now represented by State Secretariats (including the State Secretariat for Healthcare), led by a Minister of State [4].
7 The first School of Public Health was established at the University of Debrecen in the framework of the ‘Health Services and Management Programme’ (1993–2000) [9].
10 142,000 citizens, 42,000 of whom have reached the age of 60.
12 NGOs in Hungarian are usually referred to as “civil organisations” (civilszerzetet). They can have the legal form of an association (egyesület) or a foundation (alapítvány). There are also non-profit companies (general partnerships, limited partnerships, limited liability companies, or shareholder companies). These three categories can be qualified as Public Benefit Organisations (PBO). Hungarian law introduced two tiers of PBO status: ‘basic’ and ‘prominent.’ The latter enables

Box 7. Health promotion for older people – good practices by NGOs.
participation in local self-government responsibilities. PBOs can receive public grants and subsidies and citizens can donate them 1% of their income tax. In 2012, 53% of NGOs had PBO status; and approx. 8% of NGOs had the status of ‘prominent’ PBOs.

Financing of NGOs in Hungary comes from several sources. This includes individual and corporate donations, including the ‘1% of tax’ and members’ contributions, but also grants from governmental institutions and foreign organisations. This includes individual and corporate donations, including the ‘1% of tax’ and members’ contributions, but also grants from governmental institutions and foreign organisations.
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