Mojtaba MONIRI

**CORRIGENDUM TO
“ON DEFINABLE COMPLETENESS
FOR ORDERED FIELDS”
REPORTS ON MATHEMATICAL LOGIC,
54 (2019), 95–100**

Short story: The ordered field we thought made Theorem 2.1 true in fact instead answers Question 1.1. The content of this corrigendum is all thanks to Emil Jerabek [2].

Whether Theorem 2.1 holds was asked on Mathoverflow [1] by an anonymous user in February 2021, then another researcher referred to our paper. Shortly thereafter, Emil Jerabek got involved and observed that the lemma we used for our main example was incorrect, and kindly informed the author [2]: The Hahn series field $F = \mathbb{R}((t^\Gamma))$ with real coefficients and with exponents in dyadic rationals Γ (like any other non-real closed Hahn series field, see further below) is not 0-definably complete: here an element $x \in (0, 1)_F$ is a positive infinitesimal if and only if $(\forall y > 0)(\exists z)(xy < z^3 < y)$.

Received 11 March 2021

Keywords and phrases: ordered field; 0-definably complete; real closed field.

AMS subject classification: 03C64, 12L12.

The error was tracked by Jerabek as follows ([1, 2]). Our Lemma 2.1 fails. [The author apologizes to the journal RML and its readers for the confusion, and to Lou van den Dries for not more carefully checking the model he suggested to try (in private communication we referenced) and the argument sketch he gave.] The issue with the proof of Lemma 2.1 is that the dense but *non-Archimedean* group $\Gamma' = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \Gamma$ is not regularly dense: take, e.g., $a = (0, 1)$, $b = (1, 1)$, then there is no $u \in \Gamma'$ such that $3u \in (a, b)$. This is so because the order on $\mathbb{Q} \oplus \Gamma$ was defined such that the summand $\mathbb{Q}$ is convex, i.e., as the inverse lexicographic order (the convexity of $\mathbb{Q}$ was required later to construct the v^* valuation.) Hence the Robinson-Zakon criterion could not be used. The ordered groups Γ and Γ' are not elementarily equivalent: in the former there is no strictly positive element below which all positive elements are 3-divisible, while in the latter any positive element of the convex subgroup $\mathbb{Q}$, say $u = (1, 0)$, witnesses that.

In [1] Jerabek further shows that if an ordered field is 0-definably complete, then any parametrically definable gap would have to be regular. Therefore $D_0DCOF + D_pS_{rc}COF \equiv RCF$. Any 0-definably Dedekind complete ordered field that is not real closed would have to fail $D_pS_{rc}COF$, so would not be Scott complete. It cannot be an entire Hahn series field (with nonzero value group), since such fields are all Scott complete.

Addendum

In Question 1.1, we had asked whether $D_0S_{rc}COF \not\vdash D_0DCOF$, and in our Fact 1.1 we had noted $D_pS_{rc}COF \not\vdash D_pDCOF (\equiv RCF)$. The ordered field $F = \mathbb{R}((t^\Gamma))$, is Scott complete and now we know $F \not\models D_0DCOF$. Therefore $D_pS_{rc}COF \not\vdash D_0DCOF$. This simultaneously answers Question 1.1 and strengthens Fact 1.1. The resolution of Question 1.1 is in a stronger sense (by Fact 1.2). The strengthening of Fact 1.1 is also believed to be proper since fortunately the statement that D_0DCOF is strictly weaker than RCF still appears to hold, see the conclusive forcing argument by Dmytro Taranovsky in [1]. Granting that, the Jerabek decomposition above of RCF can be written with two incomparable theories as follows:

$$RCF \equiv D_0D_{\text{Irreg}}COF + D_pS_{rc}COF;$$

$$D_0D_{\text{Irreg}}COF \not\vdash D_pS_{rc}COF, \text{ and } D_pS_{rc}COF \not\vdash D_0D_{\text{Irreg}}COF.$$

The component $D_0D_{\text{Irreg}}COF$ expresses that there are no 0-definable

irregular gaps (it matches the parameter-free version of “non-valuational”, a more standard terminology but often used when the structure is weakly o-minimal.)

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Emil Jerabek for finding the error and informing him, with all the above details.

References

- [1] user107952, Is this theory the complete theory of the real ordered field?, MathOverflow, 2021, <https://mathoverflow.net/q/383259> (accessed March 8, 2021).
- [2] E. Jerabek, private communication, March 2021.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Normandale Community College
9700 France Ave S., Bloomington, MN 55431, USA
`mojtaba.moniri@normandale.edu`